Mitochondrial DNA Variability of Domestic River Buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) Populations: Genetic Evidence for Domestication of River Buffalo in Indian Subcontinent

Muniyandi Nagarajan¹*, Koodali Nimisha¹ and Satish Kumar²*

¹Department of Genomic Science, School of Biological Sciences

Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod-671314, Kerala, India

²CSIR-Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology

Hyderabad-500007, Telangana, India

*Corresponding authors: E-mail: nagarajan@cukerala.ac.in; satishk@ccmb.res.in **Keywords:** mtDNA, d-loop, buffalo, livestock, phylogeography, genetic diversity

© The Author(s) 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

River buffalo, *Bubalus bubalis* is a large bovine species frequently used livestock in southern Asia. It is believed that the river buffalo was domesticated from *Bubalus arnee*, the wild buffalo of mainland Asia, a few thousand years ago, probably during the period of Indus Valley civilization. However, the domestication history of the river buffalo has been the subject of debate for many decades mainly due to the lack of clear archeological evidence and the divisive conclusions of the genetic studies. Therefore, in order to understand the domestication history and genetic relationship among the various river buffalo populations, we analyzed 492 bp region of mtDNA control region sequences of 414 river buffalo sampled from India, Pakistan, Egypt and Iran along with the available 403 swamp buffalo sequences. The phylogenetic analyses of our study along with the archaeological evidence suggest that the river buffalo was domesticated in an atypical manner involving continuous introgression of wild animals to the domestic stocks in Indian subcontinent prior to mature phase of Indus valley civilization (3300 BC to 1400 BC). Specifically, our data exclude Mesopotamian region as the place of domestication of the river buffalo.

Introduction

Water buffalo, *Bubalus bubalis* is one of the most important livestock species in several Asian countries and is used for milk, meat and agricultural purposes. Based upon morphological, ecological, behavioral, cytological and molecular genetics attributes buffalo are classified into two types, namely; river and swamp buffalo (Cockrill 1981; Kumar et al. 2007a). The former is widely distributed in the Indian subcontinent, Middle-east, Eastern Europe and North Africa whereas the latter is found in the North-east India, Bangladesh, China and South-east Asian countries (Cockrill 1981). The river buffalo in India (98 million), Pakistan (26 million) and Egypt (3.9 million) constitutes about 90% of the total global

buffalo population and accounts for 92% of the total milk produced from this species (FAOSTAT 2006).

Recent molecular genetic markers studies using mitochondrial DNA and the present day distribution of these two types have settled that swamp and river buffalo have been domesticated independently (Kumar et al. 2007a; Yindee et al. 2010). Generally, it is believed that both river and swamp domestic buffalo were derived from Bubalus arnee. Based upon mitochondrial control region and cytochrome b sequence analysis we have earlier proposed that these two domestic types would have been derived independently from their respective wild ancestors that would have differed from each other at least at the level of subspecies (Kumar et al. 2007a). Seals dating around 2500 BC from Harappan culture and similar age seals from Akkadian era (2100 BC - 2500 BC) of Mesopotamia civilization have indicated that buffalo would have been domesticated at least prior to this period (Boehmer 1975). Cockrill (1981) suggested that river buffalo was domesticated for the first time in Indus Valley and Mesopotamia civilizations between 2000 BP - 3000 BC. Towards the end of Akkadian period buffalo disappeared from Mesopotamia iconography and was seen again after a gap of nearly 2000 years during Sasanian era (Potts 1997). Boehmer (1975) has suggested that original introduction of domestic buffalo would have been a gift from Indus Valley civilization to Mesopotamia. There is no clear archeological evidence whether wild buffalo was present and domesticated in Mesopotamia or wild buffalo was first domesticated in Indus Valley civilization and then subsequently was transported from there. The buffalo remains have been recovered from Kutch-Dholavira, dating back to mid third millennium BC, which have been believed to be domestic buffalo because of the size differences observed in the Dholavira and Santhli/ Mehargarh buffalo remains (Patel and Meadow 1998). Depiction of buffalo figures both in Mesopotamia and Indus Valley iconography shows animals with crescent type horn and at times this morphological feature is taken as implicit evidence that these animals would have been of swamp type. This is unlikely to be true since Toda buffalo from Nilgiri hills in South India are riverine type (Nair et al. 1986; Kumar et al. 2007b) but have horn type similar to those depicted in the iconography of both Indus Valley and Mesopotamia civilizations. Our recent studies on mitochondrial control region indicate that if Indian-sub continent region was the place of domestication of river buffalo, the Northwestern region of India was the most likely place for this to happen (Kumar et al. 2007b). To understand the domestication history of river buffalo further, we have now analyzed 492bp mtDNA control region sequences of 414 domestic river buffalo sampled from India, Pakistan, Egypt and Iran along with the available 403 swamp sequences and provide genetic evidence that river buffalo was domesticated in Indian subcontinent and domestic river buffalo would have reached Mesopotamia in ancient times from India.

Results and Discussion

The present study provides the first comprehensive report for domestication history of river buffalo using mtDNA control region sequences. A total of 151 unique haplotypes were found from 74 variable sites in 414 buffalo sequences from four buffalo populations. The haplotype diversity ranged from 0.8236 ± 0.0488 in Egyptian population to 0.9428 ± 0.0088 in Indian population (table 1). Firstly, AMOVA test was performed based on geographical distribution of the buffalo populations, which showed 91 % variation within the population and only 9 % variation between populations. Further AMOVA test was performed for several combinations among four river buffalo populations and the results remained same or not significant except in one combination where the Indian, Pakistani, Egyptian buffalo were considered as a single group against Iranian buffalo. Notably 30% variation was observed between these two groups, which indicated that Iranian buffalo is significantly different from the remaining populations. Among the four populations, the highest F_{ST} value was obtained between Egyptian and Iranian buffalo populations whereas the lowest F_{ST} value was obtained between Pakistani and Egyptian buffalo populations. Further, the pair wise F_{ST} values were analyzed using multidimensional scaling plot (MDS), in which the Indian, Pakistani and Egyptian buffalo populations closely resided at one place of the plot and the Iranian buffalo stood alone on the other side of the plot which strongly support AMOVA results (fig. 1).

In domestic animals it is expected that after domestication event the founding haplotypes would expand in numbers to give rise to a population and this would be reflected in the mismatch distribution curve (Rogers and Harpending 1992). When four buffalo populations were considered as a single population, the mismatch distribution curve was bimodal which suggests strongly population sub-division (fig. 2). Mismatch distribution curve was also obtained for each population separately. Interestingly, the mismatch distribution curve obtained based on the geographical distribution showed a mixed pattern. The Indian and Iranian buffalo populations showed unimodal distribution curve with a peak around at three differences and raggedness value 0.007 and 0.027 respectively, suggesting expansion of domestic buffalo population in India and Iran (fig. 2). A highly significant negative value of Fu's Fs statistics (-25.19 and -8.91) also further supported the demographic expansion. The Pakistani and Egyptian buffalo showed bimodal mismatch distribution, which indicates fragmentation in Pakistani and Egyptian buffalo populations (fig. 2).

The maximum parsimony (MP) and Bayesian trees were constructed using 151 unique river buffalo haplotypes and a *Bos taurus* sequence was used to root the phylogenetic tree. All the 151 haplotypes formed a single major clade and within the major clade a few minor clades were observed in the MP and Bayesian trees (supplementary fig. S1 & S2, Supplementary Material online). The reduced median network was constructed using 414 river buffalo sequences (fig. 3). The network was complex and revealed at least three major expanding haplotypes RI (present in 35 buffalo) RII (present in 59 buffalo) and RIII (present

in 78 buffalo) with star like appearances. Among the three major expanding haplotypes two of them (RII & RIII) were interconnected to each other with one mutational step. The RI haplotype was connected with the main network through a median vector and differed at least 6 mutational steps from the haplotypes RII & RIII. Indian, Pakistani and Egyptian buffalo shared the haplotypes extensively with each other and showed their presence in all the three major expanding haplotypes. On the other hand, the Iranian buffalo did not share the haplotype with Indian, Pakistani and Egyptian buffalo and mostly appeared as a singleton around the RII and RIII haplotypes. However, the average sequence divergence was only 0.4 % between RIII haplotype (major haplotype) and Iranian buffalo was 1.4 %.

The Iranian buffalo was mostly found around RII and RIII haplotypes and not found in and around RI haplotype, which suggest that i). The migration of river buffalo from India to Iran is ancient followed by genetic drift would have played vital role for the high genetic variability in Iranian buffalo. ii). There was a continual influx of wild buffalo into the Indian domestic stocks after the initial domestication event, which was absent in Iranian buffalo, which is another possible reason for the high genetic variability between the Indian and Iranian buffalo populations. To obtain more insight into river buffalo domestication, we constructed the network for each population separately and two maternal lineages were observed in Indian, Pakistani and Egyptian buffalo populations while this dichotomy was not observed in Iranian buffalo (data not shown). Further, the star like expansion was also not observed in the reduced median network of Iranian buffalo and mostly appeared as singleton. The lack of haplotype sharing of Iranian buffalo with other buffalo populations, especially with Pakistani buffalo suggests that the migration of river buffalo from India to Iran could have not occurred through land but probably through sea as elephant (Bertman 2003).

The buffalo seals obtained in Indus Valley were dating back to 3000 BC or even earlier, which indicates that the buffalo had already been domesticated in the Indian subcontinent around that time (Cockrill 1981; Zeuner 1963). But, the river buffalo has been noticed in Iran during 2500 BC and then it has become one of the most important domestic animals in Iran (Naserian and Saremi 2007). Similarly, buffalo was unknown to Egypt during the time of Pharaohs. The Arabs and pilgrimis probably introduced it to Egypt after ninth century (Sidki 1951) and the occurrence of RI, RII, and RIII haplotypes in Egyptian buffalo provides support for the recent migration of buffalo to Egypt. Further, the low F_{ST} (0.0087) and AMOVA (0.87%) values between Pakistani and Egyptian buffalo suggest that buffalo from Pakistan region could have been used to spread buffalo in Egypt. It has been established that the genetic variability of the domesticated species would be high at the place of its origin. Among the four buffalo populations studied, Indian buffalo showed high level of genetic variability, which suggest that the domestication occurred in the present day Indian region. Within the Indian breeds, the haplotype diversity was high for the Mehsana (0.9829 ± 0.0139) , Surati (0.9581±0.0208) and Pandharpuri (0.9829±0.0154) breeds sampled from Northwestern parts of India (place of Indus Valley civilization). Therefore, the present analyis of Indian samples along with those from other countires strongly suggest that the river buffalo was domesticated in Indian subcontinent, particularly in the Northwestern region of India and the places of distribution of the present day Mehsana, Surati and Pandharpuri breeds would have been the most likely candidate.

Although, there has been a 'difference of opinion' over the time of buffalo domestication, a good number of studies have reported based on the archeological evidences that the buffalo domestication took place most likely in the Indus Valley civilization during third millennium BC (Cockrill 1981; Zeuner 1963; Goiun 1990 & 1992). In order to find out the population expansion time of river and swamp buffalo Bayesian skyline plot (BSP) was

employed. BSP provides graphical representation of changes in population size over time. The BSP of swamp buffalo showed a constant population size until~4500 years BP followed by a rapid expansion until the present whereas the BSP of river buffalo showed a constant population size until ~10,000 years BP followed by a steady increase in population size until ~2500 BP, and there after upward acceleration in population size until present (fig. 4). The lack of clear point of inflection in BSP of river buffalo supports our earlier conclusions that river buffalo has been domesticated in an atypical manner involving continuous introgression of wild animals in the domestic stocks over a long time unlike swamp buffalo. The BSP analysis provides the support to the view of Patel and Meadow (1998) that buffalo would have been domesticated prior to mature phase of Indus valley civilization (3300 BC to 1400 BC). However, the place of domestication of swamp buffalo remains debated.

Conclusions

Based on our present and earlier analyses and in the light of the available archeological evidence we propose that the river buffalo was domesticated in an atypical manner involving continuous introgression of wild animals to the domestic stocks in Indian subcontinent prior to mature phase and during the Indus Valley civilization, and the river buffalo was first domesticated in the Northwestern region of India from where it spread to other parts of the world. More notably our analysis excludes the Mesopotamian region as the place of domestication of the river buffalo. The BSP analysis further reconfirms our earlier findings that river and swamp buffalo were domesticated independently.

Materials and Methods

We have analyzed 414 river buffalo mtDNA control region sequences representing India, Pakistan, Iran and Egypt (table 1) to unravel the domestication history of the river buffalo.

8

These 414 sequences were retrieved from the Gene Bank (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). The complete mtDNA control region sequence was available for 291 river buffalo sampled from India, Iran and Egypt whereas only 505 bp mtDNA control region sequence was available for the Pakistani buffalo. Therefore, all the sequences were truncated into 492 bp size, which accommodated the hypervariable region I of the control region. The sequences were edited and aligned using AUTOASSEMBLER (Perkin Elmer) and ClustalX (Thompson et al. 1997) programs. The population genetic parameters, haplotype diversity, F_{ST} values, mismatch distribution, Fu's Fs statistics and AMOVA were estimated using ARLEQUIN (Schneider et al. 2002). The multidimensional scaling plot was drawn for the pairwise F_{ST} values using package PSYCH in R statistical package (www.r-project.org). Phylogenetic trees were constructed based on 151 unique haplotypes of the river buffalo and a Bos taurus sequence (NC 006853) was used as an outgroup. Bayesian phylogenetic tree was constructed by MrBayes (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) using the general time reversible (GTR) model with invariant site plus eight gamma categories. The MCMC chains were run for 10×10^6 cycles. A total of 20000 trees were sampled, and a 50% majority rule consensus tree was generated with burnin= 5000. The tree construction was repeated three times. The maximum parsimony (MP) tree was generated using the software MEGA (Kumar et al. 2004). The close-neighbour-interchange algorithm was employed with 1000 bootstrapping values. The reduced median network was drawn for the 414 control region sequences using NETWORK program (Bandelt et al. 1995). The Bayesian skyline plot (BSP) method implemented in BEAST (Drummond and Rambaut 2003) was used to infer the demographic history of river and swamp buffalo. We used a dataset of 414 (492bp) and 403 (506bp) mtDNA control region sequences of river and swamp buffalo respectively (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online) for BSP. The MCMC was run for 5×10^7 iterations with a burn-in of 5×10^6 under the HKY model with the

substitution rate of 32% per nucleotide Myr⁻¹ (Shapiro et al. 2004). The genealogies and model parameters were sampled every 5,000 iterations. All the operators were kept at default settings. The MCMC runs were repeated to refine skyline parameters and the convergence of the chains to the stationary distribution was confirmed by effective sample size (>100) for all parameters using TRACER (Rambaut and Drummond, 2009).

Supplementary Material

Supplementary figure S1 & S2 and Supplementary table S1 are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online (http://www.gbe.oxfordjounals.org/)

Acknowledgments

The first author is grateful to the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi (SR/S0/AS-84/2012) for financial Assistance.

Literature Cited

Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Sykes BC, Richards MB. 1995. Mitochondrial portraits of human populations. Genetics 141:743-753.

Bertman S. 2003. Handbook to Life in Ancient Mesopotamia. Infobase Publishing.

Boehmer RM. 1975. "Das Rollsiegel im padaynastischen Agypten", AAnz 1975: 495-514.

- Cockrill WR. 1981. The water buffalo: a review. Brit Vet J. 137:8-16.
- Drummond AJ, Rambaut A. 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evol Biol. 7:214
- FAOSTAT. 2006. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations.

Gouin P. 1990. Rapes, Jarres et faisselles: la production et l'explorationdes produits laitiers dans l'Indus du 3e millenaire. Paleorient 16:37-54.

Gouin P. 1992. Cuillers harappeennes: technologie et interpretation. Paleorient 18:143-149.

- Kumar S, Tamura K, Nei M. 2004. MEGA 3.1: Integrated software for molecular evolutionary genetics analysis and sequence alignment. Brief Bioinform. 5:150-163.
- Kumar S, Nagarajan M, Sandhu JS, Kumar N, Behl V, Nishanth G. 2007a. Mitochondrial DNA analyses of Indian water buffalo supporta distinct genetic origin of river and swamp buffalo. Anim Genet. 38:227-232.
- Kumar S, Nagarajan M, Jasmeet S Sandhu, Kumar N, Behl V. 2007b. Phylogeography and domestication of Indian river buffalo. BMC Evol Biol.7:186
- Naserian AA, Saremi B. 2007. Water buffalo industry in Iran. Ital.J. Anim. Sci 6:1404-1405
- Nair PG, Balakrishnan M Yadav BR. 1986. The Toda buffaloes of Nilgiris. Buffalo J. 2: 167-178.
- Patel AK, Meadow RH. 1998. The exploitation of wild and domestic water buffalo in prehistoric northwestern south Asia. In: Buitenhuis HL, Bartosiewicz L, Choyke AM, editor. Archaeology of the near east: Proceedings of the third international symposium on the archeozoology of the southwestern Asia and adjacent areas. ARC- publications, Groningen, The Netherlands. p.180-199.
- Potts DT, editor. 1997. Mesopotamian Civilization: The material foundations. The Athlone Press, London. p. 257.
- Rambaut A, Suchard MA, Xie D & Drummond AJ .2014. Tracer v1.6, Available from http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer.
- Rogers AR, Harpending H. 1992. Population growth makes waves in the distribution of pairwise genetic differences. Mol Biol Evol. 9:552–569.

- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19:1572–1574.
- Schneider S, Roessli D, Excoffier L. 2002. ARLEQUIN 2.0: A software for population genetic data analysis. Genetics and Biometry Laboratory, University of Geneva, Switzerland.
- Shapiro B, Drummond AJ, Rambaut A, Wilson MC, et al. 2004. Rise and fall of the beringian steppe bison. *Science* 306:1561-1565.
- Sidki AR. 1951. The buffalo of Egypt. I. General study and improvement. Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt, pp. 19. Cited by: Galal S. and Elbeltagy A. 2006. Achievement of research in the field of buffalo production in Egypt. WAAP Book of the Year, p.177– 188.
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG .1997. The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 24:4876-4882.
- Yindee M, Vlamings BH, Wajjwalku W, Techakumphu M, Lohachit C, Sirivaidyapong S, Thitaram C, Amarasinghe AA, Alexander PA, Colenbrander B, Lenstra JA. 2010. Ychromosomal variation confirms independent domestications of swamp and river buffalo. Anim Genet. 41:433-435

Zeuner FE. 1963. A history of domesticated animals. London, Hutchinson.

Figure Legends

FIG. 1. Multidimensional scaling plot (MDS). The MDS plot was drawn using pairwise F_{ST} values, which shows the overall genetic relationship of four domestic river buffalo populations based on 492bp mtDNA control region sequences.

FIG. 2. Mismatch distributions of mtDNA control region sequences (492 bp) of domestic river buffalo. The observed mismatch distributions (bars) are compared with the expected mismatch distributions (solid lines) under sudden expansion model. The number of nucleotide differences between a pair of sequences is indicated along the x-axis, and the respective frequency (%) is shown along the y-axis.

FIG. 3. Reduce median network of domestic river buffalo based on 492 bp control region sequence. Each haplotype is represented by a circle and the area of the circle is proportional to its frequency. Samples from different regions are mentioned in different colours. The length of each branch is proportional to the number of mutations on the respective branch. The *#* indicates there is only one mutational step between the two haplotypes, the line has been broken to the convenient arrangement of the each nodes.

FIG. 4. Bayesian skyline plot comparing the river and swamp buffalo population size through time based using mtDNA control region sequences. (A) BSP of river buffalo. (B) BSP of swamp buffalo. The solid black lines are the median estimate and shaded areas (pink) represent the 95% upper and lower highest posterior density intervals. The *y*-axis represents the female effective population size and the *x* axis represents time in years.

FIG. S1. Maximum parsimony phylogenetic tree of river buffalo rooted with *Bos taurus*. The bootstrap values are shown above the branches.

FIG. S2. Bayesian phylogenetic tree of river buffalo rooted with Bos taurus.

	Population	Number of	Number of	Diversity
S. No		samples	haplotypes	(SE)
1	India	217	82	0.9428 ± 0.0088
2	Pakistan	123	49	0.9126 ± 0.0162
3	Egypt	48	16	0.8236 ± 0.0488
4	Iran	26	17	0.9385 ± 0.0339

Table 1 Geographic distribution and haplotype diversity of river buffalo













